| General Information |       |
|---------------------|-------|
| County Name         | Floyd |

| Person Performing Ratio Study |              |                               |                                |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Name                          | Phone Number | Email                         | Vendor Name<br>(if applicable) |  |
| Aaron Shelhamer               | 8124547324   | Aaron.shelhamer@tylertech.com | Tyler Tech                     |  |
|                               |              |                               |                                |  |
|                               |              |                               |                                |  |

| Sales Window   | 1/1/2022 to<br>12/31/2022   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| If more than one year of sales were used, was a time adjustment applied? | If no, please explain why not.                                      |  |  |
|  | If yes, please explain the method used to calculate the adjustment. |  |  |

### Groupings

Please provide a list of township and/or major class groupings (if any). Additionally, please provide information detailing how the townships and/or major classes are similar in market.

\*\*Please note that groupings made for the sole purpose of combining due to a lack of sales with no similarities will not be accepted by the Department\*\*

### Commercial and Industrial Improved:

We grouped Commercial and Industrial properties together. The construction types and sizes for the Commercial and Industrial properties are very similar, so these two categories were grouped together when we were developing trending factors. They are grouped that way on the ratio study as well.

### Residential Vacant:

The residential vacant sales that are occurring are mostly in residential/agricultural areas and have similar sales activity. These areas have similar desirability and economic factors. Only slight differences in sales prices are occurring within these areas.

| AV Increases/Decreases   |                              |  |  |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| If applicable, please list any townships within the major property classes that either increased or decreased by more than 10% in total AV from the previous year. Additionally, please provide a reason why this occurred. PCC=Property Class Change, NC=New Construction |                              |  |  |
| Property Type Townships Impacted Explanation   |                              |  |  |
| Commercial Improved  | Georgetown Twp               | PCC:22-02-03-300-032.002-003<br>PCC:22-02-03-400-115.001-003                               |  |
| Commercial Vacant  | Greenville Twp               | PCC:22-03-00-500-249.000-005   |  |
| Industrial Improved  | Franklin Twp  Georgetown Twp | NC:22-01-00-600-016.000-001<br>NC:22-01-02-800-012.000-001<br>PCC:22-02-01-100-055.000-002 |  |

| Industrial Vacant    |                |   |
|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Residential Improved | Georgetown Twp | PCC: 100 Parcels (New Res Developments)   |
| Residential Vacant   | Franklin Twp   | PCC:22-01-01-500-098.000-001 PCC:22-01-03-400-050.000-001 PCC:22-01-03-500-002.001-001 PCC:22-03-00-600-022.003-004 PCC:22-03-00-600-022.004-004 PCC:22-03-00-600-022.005-004 PCC:22-03-00-600-022.006-004 PCC:22-03-00-600-022.007-004 |

# Please explain which townships were reviewed as part of the current phase of the cyclical reassessment. Sections of Franklin, Georgetown, Greenville and New Albany Twps.

| Was the land order con | npleted for the current | cyclical reassessment | phase? If not, | please explain |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| when the land order is | planned to be complete  | d.                    |                |                |

The land order is created for each cyclical reassessment cylcle.

### **Comments**

In this space, please provide any additional information you would like to provide the Department in order to help facilitate the approval of the ratio study. Such items could be standard operating procedures for certain assessment practices (e.g. effective age changes), a timeline of changes made by the assessor's office, or any other information deemed pertinent.

Overall, we noticed an increase in value and market activity within Floyd County. Overall, the market still had strong sales through the first three quarters of the year. We did note that the number of sales decreased in the last quarter with sale prices remaining elevated. This coincides with an increased amount of new construction this year.

We use an effective age calculator to determine effective ages if changes are needed.

Major property class groups (IndImp & ResImp) had increases in value this year. This was due to the following factors', cost table updates, trending factor changes, larger than normal new construction counts, etc.

Almost all neighborhoods that had a representative number of sales fell within acceptable range and if they did not, we applied a factor to get them to meet IAAO standards. Any areas that didn't have a fair representation of sales were combined with an adjoining area of similar economic factors. Please contact me if you have any questions.